

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	_ Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/837,882 04/18/2001		04/18/2001	Christopher H. Pham	M-9570 US	8340	
33031	7590	12/08/2004	EXAMINER			
CAMPBEL 4807 SPICE		HENSON ASCOLI	TRAN,	TRAN, MAI T		
BLDG. 4, SU		rkings kd.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, T	X 78759		2121			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·							
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/837,88	2	PHAM, CHRISTOPHER H.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
_ _		Mai T. Trar		2121				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum se to reply within the set or extended period for reple eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eve munication. 30 days, a reply within the statu statutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tir tory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>18 April 2001</u> .		•				
2a) ☐								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) <u>33-42</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>30</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from cor						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	he Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includin The oath or declaration is objected	-	•	-				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internations of the attached detailed Office activations.	y documents have been y documents have been s of the priority docume onal Bureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
3) Infon	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal D 6) Other:		0-152)			

Art Unit: 2121



This Office Action is responsive to application 09837882, filed April 18, 2001.

Claims 1-42 have been examined

Claim Objections

<u>Claim 30</u> is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by A. A. Al-Jumah and T. Arslan, "Artificial Neural Network Based Multiple Fault Diagnosis in Digital Circuits, IEEE (1998), hereinafter referred to as Al-Jumah.

Claim 1

A method of checking the integrity of one or more input vectors (page II-304, Abstract) to a digital hardware block (Fig. 2, Fig. 4), comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2121

identifying a set of known bad input vectors (col. 1, lines 28-30, page II-304) for the digital hardware block; and

training checking circuitry to selectively classify future input vectors to the digital hardware block as either good or not good, using the set of known bad input vectors (page II-304, col. 2, 8 lines from bottom until the end of column).

Claim 2

The method of Claim 1 further comprising the step of classifying a new input vector of the digital hardware block as not good, using the checking circuitry (page II-306, all of col. 1).

Claim 3

The method of Claim 1 wherein said training step trains the checking circuitry to classify as not good both future input vectors which are definitely faulty and future inputs vectors which are potentially faulty (page II-306, col. 2, lines 12-16). (Vector classification is determined by the threshold, which is determined by the user.)

Claim 11

The method of Claim 1, further comprising the step of updating the checking circuitry online (page II-305, col. 1). We are interpreting "updating" as adding new data, and "online" to mean that the computer is on.

dogol

Art Unit: 2121

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 4-9, 12, 20-27, 29, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above, and further in view of S. Y. Kung, "Digital Neural Networks", Chapter 2, pages 43-72, Prentice Hall, January 1988, hereinafter referred to as Kung.

Claims 10, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above, and further in view of Ton.

Claims 16-19, 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above in view of Ton as applied to claims 10, 13-15, and further in view of Kung.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim 4

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of training the network using a feedforward linear associative memory. Kung teaches the use of a feedforward linear associative memory to train a neural network. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use such a network for the purpose of designing a network to retrieve patterns in one shot. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Al-Jumah as taught by Kung for the purpose of designing a network to retrieve patterns in one shot.

Claim 5

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of creating a weight matrix using a discrete Hopfield network algorithm. Kung teaches the use of a discrete Hopfield network algorithm to create a weight matrix.

Claim 6

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of calculating the weight matrix W. Kung teaches the calculation of the weight matrix W according to the equation

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (2a_i^{(m)} - 1) (2b_j^{(m)} - 1)$$

Art Unit: 2121

Where a₁^(m) is the set of known bad vectors, a_i=b_j, M is the number of bad input vectors in the set of known bad input vectors, i is a row locator representing a particular bad vector, and j is a column locator representing a bit location.

Claim 7

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of calculating an output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$. Kung teaches the calculation of an output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$ by multiplying the weight matrix W by the new input vector $\mathbf{b}^{(m)}$, that is, $\mathbf{a}^{(m)} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{b}^{(m)}$.

Claim 8

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of adjusting elements of the output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$. Kung teaches the adjusting elements of the output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$ by its respective threshold θ , according to the equation

$$Here K$$

$$\theta i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} w_{ij}$$

$$j=1$$

Where K is the total number of bits in a vector.

Claim 9

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of processing each of the adjusted elements. Kung teaches the process of each of the adjusted elements by a respective one of a plurality of non-linear units such that, when a given adjusted element is positive, an output

of the corresponding non-linear unit is 1 and, when a given adjusted element is not positive, the output of the corresponding non-linear unit is 0.

Claim 10, 13-15

Claims 10, 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above, and further in view of Ton. Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of the software work-around. Ton teaches the use of software work-around that provides redundancy. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Al-Jumah as taught by Ton for the purpose of providing redundancy to minimize the service interruption time.

Claim 12

Claims 12 ia rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above, and further in view of S. Y. Kung. Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception training the network using a feedforward linear associative memory. Kung teaches the use of a feedforward linear associative memory neural network having a weight matrix W and reconfiguring the weight matrix W using one or more additional bad input vectors.

Claim 16-19

Claims 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah in view of Ton as set forth above with regards to claims 13-15, and further in view of Kung. Al-Jumah does not teach the use of a linear

Art Unit: 2121

associative memory. Kung teaches the use of a feedforward linear associative memory to train a neural network. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use such a network for the purpose of designing a network to retrieve patterns in one shot. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify Al-Jumah as taught by Kung for the purpose of designing a network to retrieve patterns in one shot.

Claim 28

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above in view of Ton as applied to claims 10, 13-15, and further in view of Kung. Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of having a weight matrix. Kung teaches the use of a discrete Hopfield network algorithm to create a weight matrix. Ton teaches the use of software work-around that provides redundancy. We are interpreting blocking as isolating the faulty components.

Claim 20

Claims 20-27, 29, 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Al-Jumah as applied to claims 1-3, 11 above, and further in view of S. Y. Kung. Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of having a weight matrix. Kung teaches the use of a discrete Hopfield network algorithm to create a weight matrix.

Art Unit: 2121

Claim 21-22

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of training the network using a feedforward linear associative memory. Kung teaches the use of a feedforward linear associative memory to train a neural network.

Claim 23

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of calculating the weight matrix W. Kung teaches the calculation of the weight matrix W according to the equation

$$w_{ij} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (2a_i^{(m)} - 1) (2b_j^{(m)} - 1)$$

where $a^{(m)}$ is the set of known bad vectors, $a_i=b_j$, M is the number of bad input vectors in the set of known bad input vectors, i is a row locator representing a particular bad vector, and j is a column locator representing a bit location.

Claim 24

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of calculating an output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$. Kung teaches the calculation of an output vector $\mathbf{a}^{(m)}$ by multiplying the weight matrix W by the new input vector $\mathbf{b}^{(m)}$, that is, $\mathbf{a}^{(m)} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{b}^{(m)}$.

Claim 25

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of adjusting elements of the output vector a^(m). Kung teaches the

Art Unit: 2121

adjusting elements of the output vector $\mathbf{a}^{\text{(m)}}$ by its respective threshold θ , according to the equation

$$K$$

$$\theta i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum w_{ij}$$

$$i=1$$

Where K is the total number of bits in a vector.

Claim 26

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of processing each of the adjusted elements. Kung teaches the process of each of the adjusted elements by a respective one of a plurality of non-linear units such that, when a given adjusted element is positive, an output of the corresponding non-linear unit is 1 and, when a given adjusted element is not positive, the output of the corresponding non-linear unit is 0.

Claim 27

The circuit of Claim 20 wherein said classifying means classifies a given input vector as good or not good in les than 60 ns.

With respect to claim 27, the specific time period given is considered to be within the level of ordinary skill in the art, and in the absence of any showing of an unexpected result is not considered to make the claim patentable.

Claim 29

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of having a weight matrix. Kung teaches the use of a discrete

My 104

Hopfield network algorithm to create a weight matrix. We are interpreting "updating" as adding new data, and "online" to mean that the computer is on.

Claim 31

Al-Jumah discloses substantially all of applicant's claimed invention with the exception of having a weight matrix. Kung teaches the use of a discrete Hopfield network algorithm to create a weight matrix. Vector classification is determined by the threshold, which is determined by the user.

Claim 32

Official Notice is taken that an associative memory is a contentaddressable memory.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 33-42 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: It is the combination of elements set forth in claim 33, and in particular, the selection circuit connected to the checking circuit.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- 1. Tomlinson, U.S. Patent Number 4,918,618, discloses a Discrete Weight Neural Network.
- 2. Mehta, U.S. Patent Number 3,777,129 discloses a method for fault detection and localization in Digital Systems.
- 3. Cooper, U.S. Patent Number 6,009,418 discloses a method and apparatus for neural networking using semantic attractor architecture.
- 4. Watanabe et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,875,347 discloses a neural network processing system using semiconductor memories.
- 5. Moussa et al, U.S. Patent Number 5,680,470 discloses a method of automated signature verification
- 6. Kothari et al, U.S. Patent Number 5,467,427 discloses a memory capacity neural network
- 7. Specifications and FPGA Implementation of a systol Hopfield-type associative memory by I. Z. Mihu, R. Brad, and M. Breazu, Neural Networks, 2001. Proceedings 01, pages 228-233, Vol. 1
- 8. Fault Diagnosis of Analog Circuits with Tolerances Using Artificial Neural Networks by Ying Deng, yigang He, and Yichuang Sun IEEE 2000
- 9. Fault Tolerance of Feedforward Artificial Neural Networks A Framework of Study by Pravin Chandra, IEEE 2003

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4238. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am -- 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The

Art Unit: 2121

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mai T. Tran
Patent Examiner
Date: 11/29/04

Anthopy Knight

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Tech Center 2100